## Senate Bill No. 736

## **CHAPTER 475**

An act to amend Sections 30061 and 30063 of, and to repeal Section 30064.1 of, the Government Code, relating to state and local government finance and taxation, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

[Approved by Governor October 3, 2001. Filed with Secretary of State October 4, 2001.]

## LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 736, Poochigian. Local law enforcement funding.

This bill would declare the intent of the Legislature to make the necessary statutory changes to implement the Budget Act of 2001 relative to state and local government finance and taxation.

Existing law establishes in each county treasury a Supplemental Law Enforcement Services Fund that receives from the Controller an annual allocation of state funds. Moneys from this fund are required to be allocated by the county auditor to the county, each city located within that county, and designated districts providing law enforcement services, in accordance with specified requirements. The moneys are allocated for, among other things, frontline law enforcement services and the implementation of a comprehensive multiagency juvenile justice plan with specified components and objectives, developed by the local juvenile justice coordinating council in each county and city and county. The county board of supervisors and the Board of Corrections are required to approve these plans. These provisions will become inoperative on July 1, 2002, and are repealed as of January 1, 2003.

This bill would prohibit the county auditor from transferring moneys from the fund to a recipient agency until the county Supplemental Law Enforcement Oversight Committee certifies receipt of an approved expenditure plan from the governing board of that agency. The bill would also require the local juvenile justice coordinating council to review and modify annually its comprehensive multiagency juvenile justice plan and require the county board of supervisors and the Board of Corrections to approve the modified plan. The bill would also revise the date by which a specified annual report is to be made by the oversight committee to the Controller. The bill would also delete the inoperative and repeal dates, thereby continuing the operation of this funding program indefinitely.

Ch. 475 — 2 —

This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. It is the intent of the Legislature in enacting this act to make the necessary statutory changes to implement the Budget Act of 2001 relative to state and local government finance and taxation.

- SEC. 2. Section 30061 of the Government Code is amended to read: 30061. (a) There shall be established in each county treasury a Supplemental Law Enforcement Services Fund (SLESF), to receive all amounts allocated to a county for purposes of implementing this chapter.
- (b) In any fiscal year for which a county receives money to be expended for the implementation of this chapter, the county auditor shall allocate moneys in the county's SLESF, including any interest or other return earned on the investment of those moneys, within 30 days of the deposit of those moneys into the fund, and shall allocate those moneys in accordance with the requirements set forth in this subdivision. However, the auditor shall not transfer those moneys to a recipient agency until the Supplemental Law Enforcement Oversight Committee certifies receipt of an approved expenditure plan from the governing board of that agency.
- (1) Five and fifteen one hundredths percent (5.15%) to the county sheriff for county jail construction and operation. In the case of Madera, Napa, and Santa Clara Counties, this allocation shall be made to the county director or chief of corrections.
- (2) Five and fifteen one hundredths percent (5.15%) to the district attorney for criminal prosecution.
- (3) Thirty-nine and seven-tenths percent (39.7%) to the county and the cities within the county, and, in the case of San Mateo, Kern, Siskiyou, and Contra Costa Counties, also to the Broadmoor Police Protection District, the Bear Valley Community Services District, the Stallion Springs Community Services District, the Lake Shastina Community Services District, and the Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District, in accordance with the relative population of the cities within the county and the unincorporated area of the county, and the Broadmoor Police Protection District in the County of San Mateo, the Bear Valley Community Services District and the Stallion Springs Community Services District in Kern County, the Lake Shastina Community Services District in Siskiyou County, and the Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District in Contra Costa County, as specified in the most recent January estimate by the population research unit of the Department of Finance, and as adjusted

**— 3** — Ch. 475

to provide a grant of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) to each law enforcement jurisdiction. For a newly incorporated city whose population estimate is not published by the Department of Finance but which was incorporated prior to July 1 of the fiscal year in which an allocation from the SLESF is to be made, the city manager, or an appointee of the legislative body, if a city manager is not available, and the county administrative or executive officer shall prepare a joint notification to the Department of Finance and the county auditor with a population estimate reduction of the unincorporated area of the county equal to the population of the newly incorporated city by July 15, or within 15 days after the Budget Act is enacted, of the fiscal year in which an allocation from the SLESF is to be made. No person residing within the Broadmoor Police Protection District, the Bear Valley Community Services District, the Stallion Springs Community Services District, the Lake Shastina Community Services District, or the Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District shall also be counted as residing within the unincorporated area of the County of San Mateo, Kern, Siskiyou, or Contra Costa, or within any city located within those counties. The county auditor shall allocate a grant of at least one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) to each law enforcement jurisdiction. Moneys allocated to the county pursuant to this subdivision shall be retained in the county SLESF, and moneys allocated to a city pursuant to this subdivision shall be deposited in a SLESF established in the city treasury.

- (4) Fifty percent (50%) to the county or city and county to implement a comprehensive multiagency juvenile justice plan as provided in this paragraph. This plan shall be developed by the local juvenile justice coordinating council in each county and city and county with the membership described in Section 749.22 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. If a plan has been previously approved by the Board of Corrections, the plan shall be reviewed and modified annually by the council. The plan or modified plan shall be approved by the county board of supervisors, and in the case of a city and county, the plan shall also be approved by the mayor. The plan or modified plan shall be submitted to the Board of Corrections by May 1, 2002, and annually thereafter.
- (A) Juvenile justice plans shall include, but not be limited to, all of the following components:
- (i) An assessment of existing law enforcement, probation, education, mental health, health, social services, drug and alcohol and youth services resources that specifically target at-risk juveniles, juvenile offenders, and their families.
- (ii) An identification and prioritization of the neighborhoods, schools, and other areas in the community that face a significant public

Ch. 475 — **4** —

safety risk from juvenile crime, such as gang activity, daylight burglary, late-night robbery, vandalism, truancy, controlled substances sales, firearm-related violence, and juvenile substance abuse and alcohol use.

- (iii) A local juvenile justice action strategy that provides for a continuum of responses to juvenile crime and delinquency and demonstrates a collaborative and integrated approach for implementing a system of swift, certain, and graduated responses for at-risk youth and juvenile offenders.
- (iv) Programs identified in clause (iii) that are proposed to be funded pursuant to this subparagraph, including the projected amount of funding for each program.
- (B) Programs proposed to be funded shall satisfy all of the following requirements:
- (i) Be based on programs and approaches that have been demonstrated to be effective in reducing delinquency and addressing juvenile crime for any elements of response to juvenile crime and delinquency, including prevention, intervention, suppression, and incapacitation.
- (ii) Collaborate and integrate services of all the resources set forth in clause (i) of subparagraph (A), to the extent appropriate.
- (iii) Employ information sharing systems to ensure that county actions are fully coordinated, and designed to provide data for measuring the success of juvenile justice programs and strategies.
- (iv) Adopt goals related to the outcome measures that shall be used to determine the effectiveness of the local juvenile justice action strategy.
- (C) The plan shall also identify the specific objectives of the programs proposed for funding and specified outcome measures to determine the effectiveness of the programs and an accounting for all program participants, including those who do not complete the programs. Outcome measures of the programs proposed to be funded shall include, but not be limited to, all of the following:
  - (i) The rate of juvenile arrests per 100,000 population.
  - (ii) The rate of successful completion of probation.
- (iii) The rate of successful completion of restitution and court-ordered community service responsibilities.
- (iv) Arrest, incarceration, and probation violation rates of program participants.
  - (v) Quantification of the annual per capita costs of the program.
- (D) The Board of Corrections shall review plans or modified plans submitted pursuant to this paragraph within 30 days upon receipt of submitted or resubmitted plans or modified plans. The board shall approve only those plans or modified plans that fulfill the requirements

\_\_ **5** \_\_ Ch. 475

of this paragraph, and shall advise a submitting county or city and county immediately upon the approval of its plan or modified plan. The board shall offer, and provide if requested, technical assistance to any county or city and county that submits a plan or modified plan not in compliance with the requirements of this paragraph. The SLESF shall only allocate funding pursuant to this paragraph upon notification from the board that a plan or modified plan has been approved.

- (E) To assess the effectiveness of programs funded pursuant to this paragraph using the program outcome criteria specified in subparagraph (C), the following periodic reports shall be submitted:
- (i) Each county or city and county shall report, beginning August 15, 2001, and annually thereafter, to the county board of supervisors and the Board of Corrections, in a format specified by the Board of Corrections, on the programs funded pursuant to this chapter and program outcomes as specified in subparagraph (C).
- (ii) The Board of Corrections shall compile the local reports and, by January 15, 2003, and annually thereafter, make a report to the Governor and the Legislature on program expenditures within each county and city and county from the appropriation for the purposes of this paragraph, on the outcomes as specified in subparagraph (C) of the programs funded pursuant to this paragraph and the statewide effectiveness of the comprehensive multiagency juvenile justice plans.
- (iii) The reports required by this subparagraph shall be made by the dates specified, notwithstanding Section 30064.1.
- (c) Subject to subdivision (d), for each fiscal year in which the county and each city, and the Broadmoor Police Protection District, the Bear Valley Community Services District, the Stallion Springs Community Services District, the Lake Shastina Community Services District, and the Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District, receive moneys pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (b), the county, each city, and each district specified in this subdivision shall appropriate those moneys in accordance with the following procedures:
- (1) In the case of the county, the county board of supervisors shall appropriate existing and anticipated moneys exclusively to provide front line law enforcement services, other than those services specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (b), in the unincorporated areas of the county, in response to written requests submitted to the board by the county sheriff and the district attorney. Any request submitted pursuant to this paragraph shall specify the front line law enforcement needs of the requesting entity, and those personnel, equipment, and programs that are necessary to meet those needs. The board shall, at a public hearing held in September in each year that the Legislature appropriates funds for purposes of this chapter, consider and determine each submitted

Ch. 475 — **6** —

request within 60 days of receipt, pursuant to the decision of a majority of a quorum present. The board shall consider these written requests separate and apart from the process applicable to proposed allocations of the county general fund.

- (2) In the case of a city, the city council shall appropriate existing and anticipated moneys exclusively to fund front line municipal police services, in accordance with written requests submitted by the chief of police of that city or the chief administrator of the law enforcement agency that provides police services for that city. These written requests shall be acted upon by the city council in the same manner as specified in paragraph (1) for county appropriations.
- (3) In the case of the Broadmoor Police Protection District within the County of San Mateo, the Bear Valley Community Services District or the Stallion Springs Community Services District within Kern County, the Lake Shastina Community Services District within Siskiyou County, or the Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District within Contra Costa County, the legislative body of that special district shall appropriate existing and anticipated moneys exclusively to fund front line municipal police services, in accordance with written requests submitted by the chief administrator of the law enforcement agency that provides police services for that special district. These written requests shall be acted upon by the legislative body in the same manner specified in paragraph (1) for county appropriations.
- (d) For each fiscal year in which the county, a city, or the Broadmoor Police Protection District within the County of San Mateo, the Bear Valley Community Services District or the Stallion Springs Community Services District within Kern County, the Lake Shastina Community Services District within Siskiyou County, or the Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District within Contra Costa County receives any moneys pursuant to this chapter, in no event shall the governing body of any of those recipient agencies subsequently alter any previous, valid appropriation by that body, for that same fiscal year, of moneys allocated to the county or city pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (b).
- (e) Funds received pursuant to subdivision (b) shall be expended or encumbered in accordance with the provisions of this chapter no later than June 30 of the following fiscal year. A local agency that has not met this requirement shall remit unspent SLESF moneys to the Controller for deposit into the General Fund.
- (f) In the event that a county, a city, a city and county, or a qualifying special district does not comply with the requirements of this chapter to receive an SLESF allocation, the Controller shall revert those funds to the General Fund.

**— 7** — Ch. 475

- SEC. 3. Section 30063 of the Government Code is amended to read: 30063. (a) The Supplemental Law Enforcement Services Fund (SLESF) in each county or city is to be expended exclusively as required by this chapter. Moneys in that fund shall not be transferred to, or intermingled with, the moneys in any other fund in the county or city treasury, except that moneys may be transferred from the SLESF to the county's or city's general fund to the extent necessary to facilitate the appropriation and expenditure of those transferred moneys in the manner required by this chapter.
- (b) Moneys in a SLESF may only be invested in safe and conservative investments in accordance with those standards of prudent investment applicable to the investment of trust moneys. The treasurer of the county and each city shall provide a monthly SLESF investment report to either the police chief or the county sheriff and district attorney, as applicable.
- (c) Each year, at least 30 days prior to the date of the duly noticed public hearing required pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 30061, the county auditor and city treasurer shall detail and summarize allocations from the county's or city's SLESF, as applicable, in a written, public report filed with the Supplemental Law Enforcement Oversight Committee (SLEOC), the county board of supervisors or city council, as applicable, for the entirety of the immediately preceding fiscal year, and the county sheriff or police chief, as applicable.
- (d) A summary of the annual reports required in subdivision (c) shall be submitted in a standardized format to be developed by the Controller, in conjunction with the California District Attorney's Association, California Police Chief's Association, California State Sheriff's Association, California Peace Officer's Association, California County Auditor's Association, and California Municipal Treasurer's Association, by each SLEOC to the Controller on or before October 15, 2001, and each year thereafter. The Controller shall make a copy of the summarized reports available to the Governor, the Legislature, and the Legislative Analyst's office.
- (e) By March 1 of each year, the Legislative Analyst's office shall report to the Legislature on the types of expenditures made by local law enforcement agencies in the previous fiscal year pursuant to this chapter, and, to the extent feasible, on the effects of those expenditures on law enforcement and public safety.
- (f) A county, a city, or a city and county that fails to submit the data required pursuant to subdivision (d) or fails to expend the SLESF moneys provided by the date specified in subdivision (e) of Section 30061 shall forfeit its allocation provided pursuant to Section 30061 for the subsequent fiscal year. The Controller shall reduce the affected county's allocation by the appropriate amount and shall identify the

Ch. 475 — 8 —

county, city, or city and county and the corresponding amount reduced for the affected local agency. Funds not allocated pursuant to this subdivision shall revert to the General Fund.

- (g) Notwithstanding subdivision (f), if the Supplemental Law Enforcement Oversight Committee (SLEOC) fails to transmit the data to the Controller required pursuant to subdivision (d), the local law enforcement agency may submit its expenditure data directly to the Controller no later than 15 days after the date specified in subdivision (d). If the local law enforcement agency has complied with other requirements in this chapter, it shall be eligible for an allocation the subsequent fiscal year. However, the Controller shall reduce the SLESF allocation to the sheriff and district attorney and the cities represented in the SLEOC, and shall reduce the allocation to all the local law enforcement agencies that failed to provide the expenditure data within the 15 days. Funds not allocated pursuant to this subdivision shall revert to the General Fund.
  - SEC. 4. Section 30064.1 of the Government Code is repealed.
- SEC. 5. This act is an urgency statute necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety within the meaning of Article IV of the Constitution and shall go into immediate effect. The facts constituting the necessity are:

In order to make the necessary statutory changes to implement the Budget Act of 2001 at the earliest possible time, it is necessary that this act take effect immediately.